

REMARKS

Applicant is submitting herewith a replacement drawing for Figure 1 and a replacement Abstract commencing on a separate sheet. Applicant respectfully traverses the contention that Figure 1A has been omitted from the application as originally filed.

In the originally filed documents, Applicant's specification, on page 6, lines 15 et seq. describes Figure 1A as:

Figure 1-A is a magnified drawing of the microscopic perforations in the surface of the first embodiment microbubble generating component of the invention herein.

It is submitted that Figure 1-A was, in fact, submitted with the original drawings and reference is made to the originally filed Figure 1 (attached hereto as Appendix I) and to the circular figure appearing immediately to the left of the Chinese characters which end in "A". This figure is circled on Appendix I. Quite clearly, this circular figure is the Figure 1-A described in Applicant's specification and is present in the drawings as originally filed. The Figure 1-A was incorrectly identified by a legend comprising Chinese characters, but was present in this figures as filed.

Applicant has submitted herewith a corrected drawing sheet in which the circular figure is correctly identified as "Figure 1A".

Enclosed with this response is the Petition fee of \$130 as required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h). In view of the fact that Figure 1A was, in fact, submitted with the original application papers, Applicant hereby requests that the Petition fee be refunded to **Deposit Account No. 501874**.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 16, 2004

By:


Bruce H. Troxell
Reg. No. 26,592

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
5205 Leesburg Pike, Suite 1404
Falls Church, Virginia 22041
Telephone: (703) 575-2711
Telefax: (703) 575-2707